



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The amendment of August 7, 2006 amended claim 1 to include the material of claim 13. The applicant noted that claim 13 had not been addressed. The examiner noted that claim 13 should have been included in the rejection under Snodgrass et al. The examiner further noted that the method of claim 21 was allowable and stated that if the structural pump of this claim were presented it would be found allowable. The applicant and the examiner agreed upon new claim 29 as presented in the examiner's amendment..